

Interview Guideline

www.managertools.com

POSITION: Associate INTERVIEWEE:

DEPARTMENT: INTERVIEWED:

MANAGER: Wendii CREATION 2012-02-16 DATE:

INTERVIEWER:

INTERVIEW GUIDE OVERVIEW (DO NOT READ OUT LOUD TO CANDIDATE)

The purpose of this interview guide is to help you evaluate candidates. The questions shown here are drawn from a behavioral analysis of this position, conducted by you or a previous manager. Guidelines are suggested for evaluating the strength of candidates' answers.

We recommend you ask the questions *exactly as they are worded*. This is particularly important if multiple interviewers are interviewing 1 or more candidates (which we also recommend).

Please take notes in the space provided. *Write down what the candidate SAYS, rather than your impressions.* That will help you share the behavioral reasons for your conclusions and decision.

Remember to be as pleasant and friendly as you can be. You can deliver a demanding interview while also being polite and kind.

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

(PLEASE READ THIS OUT LOUD)

Thank you for interviewing with me today. Here at Manager Tools we use a behavioral interviewing style. I'll be asking a series of questions about experiences you've had and how you handled them. I've got a series of between 10 and 15 questions, and this might take us an hour, perhaps a little more time. Don't be surprised if others here ask you the same questions in other interviews - that's normal. We want to be sure that every person we hire has the same qualities that have made us so successful.

There will be times when I will ask you for more information, and don't worry, that's normal. I will be taking notes - please don't let it distract you. The way we'll do it is, first, I'll ask you some questions, and then I'll answer any questions you might have of me. When you're done with your questions, we'll finish up. I'm excited you're here - let's get started.



Tell me about a time where your communication with others - type, frequency, with whom, about what - helped you build rapport or create better relationships and outcomes?

What behaviors to look for: How did they learn about the other person? Were their exchanges based on respect, or simply getting an outcome? Did they continue the effort? Did they only do so to get a result, or do they show a pattern of always working at relationships?

WEAK

Only interested in other person for potential outcome Does not consistently build relationships Only calls when they want something Cannot demonstrate clear business benefit

STRONG

Creates strategy for building relationships Articulates benefit of wide ranging relationships Gives before getting Maintains relationships without near term business gain



Tell me about an effective relationship you have created and kept over a long period. How did you achieve that?

What behaviors to look for: What do they describe as "long"? What actions did they take to keep the relationship active? Was there reciprocity – a willingness to share as well as benefit? What different forms of communication do they use? How do they communicate in ways that are helpful to the other person?

WEAK

Long is less than 1-2 years Relies on other person to make contact Does not offer to give before getting Communicates in a limited way Has only internal relationships

STRONG

Has a strategy for maintaining relationship Gives without prospect of getting Communicates in multiple ways Has relationships in different companies/industries Demonstrates different communication styles



Tell me about a time when open and frequent communication built trust between you and another.

What behaviors to look for: Did they initiate communication or just respond? What did they do to make sure there was frequent communication, even when there wasn't necessarily a business need? Did the communications take multiple forms, or just one? Did they address tougher subjects when necessary?

WEAK

Relies on other person to make contact Uses role power before relationship influence Does not offer to give before getting Communicates in a limited way Communicates only about business matters

STRONG

Has a strategy for maintaining relationship Gives without prospect of getting Communicates in multiple ways Builds trust by sharing personal information Communicates to maintain relationships for future work



Describe a situation when you have successfully managed multiple projects simultaneously.

What behaviors to look for: What planning or scheduling did they do to address the workload? Did they simply react to changes, or did they proactively stay on top of issues? Did they communicate reactively, or did they see this as normal professional responsibility and handle it well?

WEAK

Did not plan to address workload Did not deliver projects on time or budget Expresses dissatisfaction at having to manage Did not delegate or coach others to achieve goals

STRONG

Has a clear method for managing multiple projects Delivers projects on time and budget Communicates regularly and methodically with others Uses team's skills to ensure goals are met



Tell me about your methods for following through on projects and details. How do you measure your success in this area?

What behaviors to look for: How complex was the project? How many details were there? Did they have a clear way of keeping track of the details? What was their approach to managing multiple, conflicting priorities and projects?

WEAK

Project is less complex than reasonable for this role Details not proactively or methodically tracked Does not have a method for managing conflicting priorities

Follows through only after aware of crises

STRONG

Project complexity is significant for this role Follow through systems lead to improved performance

Systematic approach reduces errors and delays Approach improves learning and future performance



Tell me about a time when you have had to create and maintain detailed project plans and task lists. How did you go about this?

What behaviors to look for: How detailed were the plans? Were they electronic/efficient for use, or just kept on paper? Did others have access to them? Could they be used in the future? Did they have a way to improve on them over time?

WEAK

Details are less complex than reasonable for this role Method of tracking not appropriate to project type or size

Detail could not be reused or accessed by others Tracking does not create proactivity

STRONG

Project complexity equal/greater than required for role

Tracking method was appropriate to complexity
Tracking supports proactivity and improvement
Detail could be reused or used by others
Describes improvement mechanism



Tell me about a time when you needed to follow instructions accurately. How did you ensure that your work was correct?

What behaviors to look for: What did the candidate do to ensure they understood the instructions? Did they write them down, or ask questions? What steps did they take to ensure that the work didn't get off track? Did they do anything to make sure the final product was what was expected?

WEAK

Did not remember instructions
Did not ask questions or clarify
Made errors left uncorrected
Had to be prompted with repeated guidance
Hid errors

STRONG

Took notes
Asked for clarification
Open with communications about questions and issues
Validated assumptions
Planned quality into the work
Checked work for accuracy



Describe a situation when you noticed a particularly important detail and had to alert others to its importance.

What behaviors to look for: Did they react professionally? Did they over-react? What form did the communication take? Whom did they notify, and why those recipients? How did they discover the detail? Did they follow through?

WEAK STRONG

Focused on wrong details
Failed to communicate in a timely way
Notified wrong people
Message not received well or correctly

Saw the right details clearly Communicated in a way that highlighted the issue Communicated with recipient in mind Escalated communication appropriately if necessary



Tell me what your problem solving approach is. How have you communicated that to your team?

What behaviors to look for: Do they have a standard approach? Are they able to communicate to allow others to contribute? Do they define each situation as a one-off or special case? What rules do they always apply, if any? Does their system allow Solving problems team? them to improve their speed or quality over time?

WEAK

Does not have a consistent problem solving strategy Does not collaborate with others to develop solution Does not describe post action review Does not indicate how learning improves strategy

STRONG

Has a clear strategy for problem solving Collaborates early with others Develops rules and systems for future similar situations Conducts a post action review Demonstrates learning from application and review



How have you taken the interactions with other systems into account when developing solutions for your team's problems?

What behaviors to look for: What outreach do they do to ensure minimal external impacts due to changes they make? How do they involve others in the analysis? What larger organizational systems do they have particular awareness in, and why?

WEAK

Does not clearly describe the landscape Barely involves others and/or does not collaborate Only considers one or two other systems

STRONG

Clearly articulates the systems which interact and how Involves a wide range of stakeholders in collaboration Describes a multitude of systems with differing impacts



Custom Question 1:

Tell me about a time when you've had to research a topic, and then provide a synthesis and recommendations.



Custom Question 2:

Tell me about a time when you've had to develop and manage your own projects.



Custom Question 3:

Tell me about a time when you've managed multiple competing priorities.



Custom Question 4:

Tell me about a time you've worked with multiple priorities, where their importance has changed frequently.



Custom Question 5:

Tell me about a time when you've had to establish a method of achieving something.



Custom Question 6:

Tell me about how you manage your workday & workload.